



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/775,917 | 02/09/2004 | Olivier Rayssac | 4717-13100 | 1187 |

28765 7590 04/23/2008
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
PATENT DEPARTMENT
1700 K STREET, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20006

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

TRINH, MICHAEL MANH

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

2822

| | |
|-----------|---------------|
| MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|-----------|---------------|

04/23/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/775,917 | Applicant(s) RAYSSAC ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Michael Trinh | Art Unit 2822 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Michael Trinh. (3) Julie Shing.
 (2) E. Bradley Gould. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 22 April 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _.

Identification of prior art discussed: Matsui (6,191,007).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorneys alleged after removing the substrate 118 at Figs 22, 17A-17D of Matsui, an electronic component will be formed in the second face. Examiner alleged that after removing the substrate 118 in Figure 22, although another electronic component may be formed on the second face, the first electronic pattern components 115 were already formed and still remained on the first face, and read on the claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Michael Trinh/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2822

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required